

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

<u>M</u>

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/743,253 12/22/2003		Dustin W. Carr	Carr 8-4-5 4460			
46850	7590	10/04/2005		EXAMINER		
		ASSOCIATES, P.C	DOAN, JENNIFER			
1500 JOHN F. KENNEDY BLVD., SUITE 405 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19102				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2874		

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)						
			253	CARR ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit						
		Jennife	Doan	2874						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on t	he cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ddress					
WHIC - Externafter - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN ASSISTED AS A STATE OF THE MAN AS A STATE OF THE MAN ASSISTED AS	ALING DATE OF far the far 1.136(a). In no nication. utory period will apply and ill, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be tindependent, however, may a reply be tindependent of the second ABANDONE pylication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this of the (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status										
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on 22 December	2003.							
2a)□	·	b) This action is	<del></del>							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is									
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposit	ion of Claims									
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,8,9,11-13,19,20,22 and 24</u> is/are rejected.									
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>2-7,10,14-18,21 and 23</u> is/are objected to.									
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicat	ion Papers	•								
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			•					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.										
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	•		ed in this Nationa	l Stage					
* 6	application from the Internation	•	···							
•	See the attached detailed Office action	i for a list of the ce	erunea copies not receiv	ea. ·						
Am t-	Ma)									
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	, (PTO_413)						
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT		Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate						
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date <u>122203 &amp; 060305</u> .	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal I  6) Other:	Patent Application (PT	O-152)					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The prior art documents submitted by applicant in the Information Disclosure Statements filed on 12/22/03 and 06/03/05, have all been considered and made of record (note the attached copy of form PTO-1449).

## **Drawings**

2. The drawings, filed on 12/22/03, are accepted.

## Specification

3. Applicants' cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicants may become aware in the specification.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5.

Claims 1, 11-13 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated

Page 3

by Murphy et al. (U.S. Patent 5,864,641).

With respect to claims 1, 11-13 and 24, Murphy et al. (figures 1-3) disclose a method and a device, comprising an optical waveguide (10) having a first grating (40), wherein at least a portion of the waveguide has a functional layer adapted to bind an analyte (column 4, lines 35-40); and when the analyte binds to the functional layer, the binding changes optical characteristics of the waveguide; wherein the first grating is a Bragg grating (column 5, lines 3-10); further transmitting light through an optical waveguide having a first grating; and measuring the transmitted light using a photodetector (column 2, lines 40-43).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

Application/Control Number: 10/743,253

Art Unit: 2874

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claims 8, 9, 19, 20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murphy et al. (as cited above) in view of Cooper et al. (U.S. 2003/0092034 A1).

With respect to claims 8, 19 and 22, Murphy et al. substantially disclose the device comprising a section of the resonator has a functional layer adapted to bind an analyte, wherein the optical characteristics of the resonator change, when the analyte binds to the functional layer (column 7, lines 1-5).

Murphy et al. do not disclose a device comprising a Mach-zehnder interferometer (MZI) having two arms, wherein one arm has an optical waveguide.

However, Cooper et al. (paragraph [0107]) disclose the device comprising a Mach-zehnder interferometer (MZI) having two arms, wherein one arm has an optical waveguide to low the signal noise (paragraph [0119]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Murphy to have Mach-zehnder interferometer (MZI) having two arms, wherein one arm has an optical waveguide (accordance with the teaching of Cooper et al.) for the purpose of reducing the signal noise.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,253

Art Unit: 2874

With respect to claims 9 and 20, Murphy et al. (figure 1) disclose the device, wherein the optical waveguide has a second grating and the first and second gratings form an optical resonator (column 7, lines 1-5).

### Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 2-7, 10, 14 -18, 21 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art of record fails to disclose or reasonably suggest the device, wherein a plurality of grooves in the optical waveguide form the first grating; and at least some of the grooves are covered by the functional layer as recited in claims 2 and 14; wherein each grating has an optical reflection band characterized by a center wavelength; and at least two gratings have different reflection bands as recited in claims 4 and 16 and further the binding changes a differential phase shift as recited in claims 10, 21 and 23.

#### Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Doan whose telephone number is (571) 272-2346. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 6:00 am to 3:30 pm, second Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/743,253

Art Unit: 2874

Page 6

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tennifer Doan

Jennifer Doan

Patent examiner

September 27, 2005